1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney CHICK SEP 2 3 2003 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DEVISION 20139 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 Plaintiff, <u>VIOLATIONS</u>: 26 U.S.C. § 7201 – Tax ν. Evasion; 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) - Making 13 and Subscribing False Tax Returns MELVIN ROSCHELLE, 14 SAN JOSE VENUE Defendant. 15 16 INDICTMENT The Grand Jury charges: 17 <u>COUNT ONE</u>: (26 U.S.C. § 7201) 18 On or about October 14, 1997, in the Northern District of California, the defendant 19 20 MELVIN ROSCHELLE. then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat the 21 income tax due and owing by defendant to the United States of America for the calendar year 1996 by 22 preparing, signing, and mailing and otherwise delivering, and causing to be prepared, signed and 23 mailed and otherwise delivered, a false and fraudulent individual income tax return, which return was 24 filed with the Internal Revenue Service, wherein it was stated that the tax due to the United States for 25 the calendar year 1996 was \$23,017.00, whereas, as he then and there well knew and believed, his 26 taxable income for the said calendar year was in excess of that stated thereon and that upon said 27 additional taxable income additional tax was due and owing to the United States of America. 28 CRIMINAL CASE PROC INDICTMENT

INDICTMENT

7	<u>COUNT FOUR</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7201)
2	On or about October 16, 2000, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
3	MELVIN ROSCHELLE,
4	then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat the
5	income tax due and owing by defendant to the United States of America for the calendar year 1999
6	preparing, signing, and mailing and otherwise delivering, and causing to be prepared, signed and
7	mailed and otherwise delivered, a false and fraudulent individual income tax return, which return was
8	filed with the Internal Revenue Service, wherein it was stated that the tax due to the United States for
9	the calendar year 1999 was \$87,547.00, whereas, as he then and there well knew and believed, his
10	taxable income for the said calendar year was in excess of that stated thereon and that upon said
11	additional taxable income additional tax was due and owing to the United States of America.
12	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.
13	<u>COUNT FIVE</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(1))
14	On or about October 3, 1996, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
15	MELVIN ROSCHELLE,
16	then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully make and subscribe the Form 1120 - U.S.
17	Corporation Income Tax Return of Innovative Services, Inc. (ISI) for the tax year ending April 30,
18	1996, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury and was
19	filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which income tax return the defendant did not believe to be
20	true and correct as to every material matter, in that the defendant willfully omitted material informati
21	concerning the taxable income reported on the return, in that on line 30 of said return, the defendant
22	claimed that the taxable income of ISI for the tax year ending April 30, 1996 was \$71,838.00, when
23	truth and in fact, as the defendant well knew and believed, the taxable income was in excess of that
24	amount.
25	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
26	///
27	
28	

	COUNT SIX: (20 U.S.C. § 7206(1))
2	On or about December 12, 1997, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
3	MELVIN ROSCHELLE,
4	then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully make and subscribe the Form 1120 - U.S.
5	Corporation Income Tax Return of Innovative Services, Inc. (ISI) for the tax year ending April 30,
6	1997, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury and was
7	filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which income tax return the defendant did not believe to be
8	true and correct as to every material matter, in that the defendant willfully omitted material information
9	concerning the taxable income reported on the return, in that on line 30 of said return, the defendant
10	claimed that the taxable income of ISI for the tax year ending April 30, 1997 was \$169,387.00, when
11	truth and in fact, as the defendant well knew and believed, the taxable income was in excess of that
12	amount.
13	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
14	<u>COUNT SEVEN</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(1))
15	On or about November 9, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
16	MELVIN ROSCHELLE,
17	then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully make and subscribe the Form 1120 - U.S.
18	Corporation Income Tax Return of Innovative Services, Inc. (ISI) for the tax year ending April 30,
19	1998, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury and was
20	filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which income tax return the defendant did not believe to be
21	true and correct as to every material matter, in that the defendant willfully omitted material information
22	concerning the taxable income reported on the return, in that on line 30 of said return, the defendant
23	claimed that the taxable income of ISI for the tax year ending April 30, 1998 was \$345,406.00, when
24	truth and in fact, as the defendant well knew and believed, the taxable income was in excess of that
25	amount.
26	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
27	111
28	

INDICTMENT

1	COUNT EIGHT: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(1))
2	On or about September 13, 1999, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
3	MELVIN ROSCHELLE,
4	then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully make and subscribe the Form 1120 - U.S.
5	Corporation Income Tax Return of Innovative Services, Inc. (ISI) for the tax year ending April 30,
6	1999, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury and was
7	filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which income tax return the defendant did not believe to be
8	true and correct as to every material matter, in that the defendant willfully omitted material informatio
9	concerning the taxable income reported on the return, in that on line 30 of said return, the defendant
10	claimed that the taxable income of ISI for the tax year ending April 30, 1999 was \$315,308.00, when it
11	truth and in fact, as the defendant well knew and believed, the taxable income was in excess of that
12	amount.
13	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
14	COUNT NINE: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(1))
15	On or about January 16, 2001, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
16	MELVIN ROSCHELLE,
17	then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully make and subscribe the Form 1120 - U.S.
18	Corporation Income Tax Return of Innovative Services, Inc. (ISI) for the tax year ending April 30,
19	2000, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury and was
20	filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which income tax return the defendant did not believe to be
21	true and correct as to every material matter, in that the defendant willfully omitted material information
22	concerning the taxable income reported on the return, in that on line 30 of said return, the defendant
23	claimed that the taxable income of ISI for the tax year ending April 30, 2000 was \$345,199.00, when in
24	truth and in fact, as the defendant well knew and believed, the taxable income was in excess of that
25	amount.
26	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
27	111
28	

	COUNT TEN; (20 U.S.C. § 7206(1))
2	On or about September 15, 1997, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
3	MELVIN ROSCHELLE
4	then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully make and subscribe the Form 1120S - U.S.
5	Income Tax Return for an S Corporation of Interior Expressions, Inc. (IEI) for the year 1996, which
6	was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury and was filed with the
7	Internal Revenue Service, which income tax return the defendant did not believe to be true and correct
8	as to every material matter, in that the defendant willfully omitted material information concerning the
9	ordinary income reported on the return, in that on line 21 of the 1996 corporate income tax return, the
10	defendant claimed that the ordinary income of IEI for the 1996 tax year was \$90,736.00, when in truth
11	and in fact, as the defendant well knew and believed, the ordinary income was in excess of that
12	amount,
13	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
14	<u>COUNT ELEVEN</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(1))
15	On or about September 10, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
16	MELVIN ROSCHELLE
17	then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully make and subscribe the Form 1120S - U.S.
18	Income Tax Return for an S Corporation of Interior Expressions, Inc. (IEI) for the year 1997, which
19	was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury and was filed with the
20	Internal Revenue Service, which income tax return the defendant did not believe to be true and correct
21	as to every material matter, in that the defendant willfully omitted material information concerning the
22	ordinary income reported on the return, in that on line 21 of the 1997 corporate income tax return, the
23	defendant claimed that the ordinary income of IEI for the 1997 tax year was \$32,696.00, when in truth
24	and in fact, as the defendant well knew and believed, the ordinary income was in excess of that
25	amount.
26	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
27	<i>[11]</i>
28	

1	<u>COUNT TWELVE</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(1))
2	- On or about September 13, 1999, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
3	MELVIN ROSCHELLE
4	then a resident of Los Gatos, California, did willfully make and subscribe the Form 1120S - U.S.
5	Income Tax Return for an S Corporation of Interior Expressions, Inc. (IEI) for the year 1998, which
6	was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury and was filed with the
7	Internal Revenue Service, which income tax return the defendant did not believe to be true and correc
8	as to every material matter, in that the defendant willfully omitted material information concerning the
9	ordinary income reported on the return, in that on line 21 of the 1998 corporate income tax return, the
10	defendant claimed that the ordinary income of IEI for the 1998 tax year was \$34,587.00, when in truth
11	and in fact, as the defendant well knew and believed, the ordinary income was in excess of that
12	amount.
13	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
14	DATED: A TRUE BILL.
15	Systember 23,2003 Jarolyn Millson
16 17	FOREPERSON (Illion
18	KEVIN V. RYAN
19	United States Attorney
20_	MATTHEW A. PARRELLA
21	Chief, San Jose Branch
22	
23	(Approved as to Form: Jane Strainaly
24	AUSA Jane Shoemaker
25	
26	
27	
28	

INDICTMENT